

|   |                  |              |  |
|---|------------------|--------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No.  | Applicant(s) |  |
|   | 09/868,601       | PEARS ET AL. |  |
|   | Examiner         | Art Unit     |  |
|   | Callie E. Shosho | 1714         |  |

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

(2) Richard Steinberg.

**Date of Interview:** 29 October 2003

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

1,3,4

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Steinberg in order to ensure that all the independent claims recite the same claim language and to define what was meant by the abbreviation "Mn".*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability (see Part II above).

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)